## QUARTERLY PHYSICAL REPORT OF OPERATION As of 2021 December 31

Department: State Universities and Colleges (SUCs) Appropriations: Current Year Appropriations Agency: Rombion State University Operating Unit: N/A Organization Code (UACS): 080470000000

Processor   Section   Se	Organization Code (UACS): 080470000000 Report Status: FOR REVIEW	J												
1				gets		ı		Phys	ical Accompl	ishments				
Page	Particulars	UACS CODE	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	Variance	Remarks
Convention   Con	1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(3+4+5+6)	8	9	10	11	12=(8+9+10+11)	13	14
On-Security strategy control and control participal control and count of proper during order and count or	Part A											, ,		
December of a state of the property by a part of the property by a p	I. Operations													
growth mate largest processed deservery based of the control processed and the control processed														
Button to the Processes														
Automotion   Companies   Com														
Automate beligneemen   Automate		2 1015 : 14												
Percentage of first first incinsurae		3.101E+14												
Percentage of fundamental computer   Percentag	Cutoffic indicators													0.1.51
1 Percentage of link time locensus comes   1 Percentage of link ti														
Processing of fractions locensure   Processing of fractions   Proc														
1. Percentage of first-time florescure section   1. Percentage of first-time floresc														
Aproximate and Registerine    1														
1   Percentage of first-first licensure exams							34%	_	-	40.48%	64.10%	52.29%	18.29%	
Percentage of first-time increasure   Percentage of first-time increasure   Percentage of graduates (2 years and 1996) the locational experience   Percentage of graduates (2 years and 1996) the locational experience   Percentage of graduates (2 years and 1996)   Percentage of graduates (2														
1. Percentage of indergraduate studies and interest independent of the percentage of graduates (2 years plant) as the Econscree scores.  2. Percentage of graduates (2 years plant)  3. Percentage of graduates (2 years plant)  4. Percentage of graduates (2 years plant)  4. Percentage of undergraduate  5. Percentage of undergraduate  6. Percentage of														
Section of the control of the contro	1 December of first time licensure													
Special pass the florenium exams   2   Percentage of graduations (2 years prior)   2   2.25%   27.75%   20.00														, and the second
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior)  That are amployed  Output holosters  Fracher Education Programs such as expected to the first of the first output holosters have been a controlled to the first output holosters have been a controlled to the first output holosters have been a controlled to the first output holosters have been a controlled to the first output holosters have been a controlled to the first output holosters have been a controlled to the first output holosters have been a controlled to the first output holosters have been a controlled to the first output holosters have been a controlled to the first output holosters have been a controlled to the first output holosters have been a controlled to the first output holosters have been a controlled to the first output holosters have been accordable to the first output holosters have accord	GAGITI-													
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years pind)  That are employed  That are employed  Output includes  Disput foliations  1. Percentage of undergraduate student	takers that pass the licensure exams													
2. Percentage of graduates (2 years proce)														Difficulty in conducting tracer studies
that are employed  Output Indicators  1. Percentage of undergraduate student  1. Percentage of undergraduate student  1. Percentage of undergraduate  2. Percentage of undergraduate  2. Percentage of undergraduate  2. Percentage of undergraduate  3. SSW SSW SSW SSW SSW SSW SSW SSW SSW SS														due to movement restrictions and
That are employed  Output Indicators  Output Indicators  1. Percentage of undergraduate student  2. Percentage of undergraduate programs  1. Percentage of undergraduate student  2. Percentage of undergraduate student stude							70%				42.25%	42.25%	-27.75%	internet connectivity issues
Quput Indicators    Percentage of undergraduate student   Percentage of undergraduate   Percenta	prior)							_		_				
Quput Indicators  1. Percentage of undergraduate student  1. Percentage of undergraduate student  2. Percentage of undergraduate  2. Percentage of undergraduate  2. Percentage of undergraduate  3. Percentage of undergraduate  4. Page 171%  5. Page 171%														
1. Parcentage of undergraduate student  1. Parcentage of undergraduate student student  2. Parcentage of undergraduate student														
I. Percentage of undergraduate student  1. Percentage of undergraduate programs  2. Percentage of undergraduate programs  2. Percentage of undergraduate programs  2. Percentage of undergraduate programs  3. Percentage of undergraduate programs  4. Percentage of undergraduate programs  2. Percentage of undergraduate programs  3. Percentage of undergraduate programs  4. Percentage of undergraduate programs  4. Percentage of undergraduate programs  4. Percentage of undergraduate programs  5. Percentage of undergraduate programs  5. Percentage of undergraduate programs  6. Percentage of undergraduate programs  6	Output Indicators													
1. Percentage of undergraduate student  1. Percentage of undergraduate  2. Percentage of undergraduate  2. Percentage of undergraduate  3. Percentage of undergraduate  4. Percentage of undergraduate  4. Percentage of undergraduate  5. Percentage of undergraduate  6. Percentage of undergraduate														
1. Percentage of undergraduate student  1. Percentage of undergraduate student  2. Percentage of undergraduate student  2. Percentage of undergraduate 2. Percentage of undergraduate 3. Percentage of undergraduate 4. P														
1. Percentage of undergraduate student  92% 71% 71% 71% 71% 71% 71% 71% 21% TOTAL= 4.596 Insummary, the equation is as follows 15781-4,596 11,185/15781=71%  population enrolled in CHED-tidentified  and RDC-identified priority programs  2. Percentage of undergraduate programs  61% 55% 55% 6% 6% Were accredited but with no evidence, 5 were accreditable but will for PSV. The equation is 22/40-55%  with accreditation  OC) - Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation  ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM  3.201E+14														
1. Percentage of undergraduate student  92% 71% 71% 71% 71% 71% 71% 21% TOTAL=4,596 In summary, the equation is as follows 15781-4,596 In summary, the equation														
student    92%   17%   17%   17%   17%   17%   17%   17%   18/14.596     11,185/15781=71%     2, Percentage of undergraduate programs   61%     55%   55%   6%   were accredited; 3 were accredited; but still for PSV.    with accreditation   0   0   14/14   18/14.596     2, Percentage of undergraduate programs   0   0   0   14/14   18/14.596     3, Were accredited; 3 were accredited; but still for PSV.    with accreditation   0   0   14/14.596     ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM   3,201E+14														under CIVIO No. 10
student  In summary, the equation is as follows: 15781-4,598    11,185/15781=71%  population enrolled in CHED-identified  and RDC-identified priority programs  Of the 49 programs, 9 were accreditable programs    2. Percentage of undergraduate programs  61% 55% 55% 6% were accreditable but sail for PSV.  With accreditation  O: Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation  ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM 3.201E-14	Percentage of undergraduate						020/	740/	740/	740/	740/	740/	240/	TOTAL = 4 596
population enrolled in CHED- identified  and RDC-identified priority programs  2. Percentage of undergraduate programs  61% 55% 55% 6% Were accredited by until for PSC.  With accreditation  OC: Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation  ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM  3.201E+14	student						92%	7 1%	7 1%	7 1%	7 1%	7 1%	21%	
population enrolled in CHED- identified  and RDC-identified priority programs  2. Percentage of undergraduate programs  61% 55% 55% 6% 6% were accredited but with no evidence, 5 were accreditable but with no evidence, 5 were accreditable or the programs of the student of the programs of the programs of the program														
population enrolled in CHED- identified  and RDC-identified priority programs  Canded and RDC-identified priority programs  and RDC-identified priority programs  Canded and RDC-identified and RDC-identifie														,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
identified  and RDC-identified priority programs  ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM  and RDC-identified priority programs  Of the 49 programs, 9 were accredited; 22 were accredited; 22 were accredited; 22 were accredited; 22 were accredited but with no evidence, 5 were accreditable but still for PSV. The equation is 22/40=55%  ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM  3.201E+14														11,185/15781=71%
identified  and RDC-identified priority programs  ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM  and RDC-identified priority programs  Of the 49 programs, 9 were accredited; 22 were accredited; 23 were accredited but with no evidence, 5 were accreditable but still for PSV. The equation is 22/40=55%  OC: Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation														
identified  and RDC-identified priority programs  ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM  and RDC-identified priority programs  Of the 49 programs, 9 were accredited; 22 were accredited; 22 were accredited; 22 were accredited; 22 were accredited but with no evidence, 5 were accreditable but still for PSV. The equation is 22/40=55%  ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM  3.201E+14														
and RDC-identified priority programs  2. Percentage of undergraduate programs  61%  55%  55%  6%  Of the 49 programs, 9 were acknowledged as not accreditable; 22 were accredited but with no evidence, 5 were accreditable but still for PSV. The equation is 22/40=55%  With accreditation  OO: Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation  ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM  3.201E+14	population enrolled in CHED-													
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs  2. Percentage of undergraduate programs  8. Fig. 1. Fig. 1. Fig. 1. Fig. 2. Fig. 3. Fig. 3. Were acknowledged as not accreditable; 22 were accredited; 3 were accreditable; 22 were accreditable; 3 were accreditable but still for PSV. The equation is 22/40= 55%  8. Fig. 1. Fig. 3. Fig.	identified													
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs  2. Percentage of undergraduate programs  8. Fig. 1. Fig. 1. Fig. 1. Fig. 2. Fig. 3. Fig. 3. Were acknowledged as not accreditable; 22 were accredited; 3 were accreditable; 22 were accreditable; 3 were accreditable but still for PSV. The equation is 22/40= 55%  8. Fig. 1. Fig. 3. Fig.														
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs  61%  61%  61%  61%  61%  61%  61%  61	and RDC-identified priority programs													+
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs  61%  55%  55%  6%  were accredited; 3 were accredited but with no evidence, 5 were accreditable but still for PSV. The equation is 22/40= 55%  OO: Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation  ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM  3.201E+14														Of the 49 programs, 9 were
2. Percentage of undergraduate programs  61%  55%  55%  6%  were accredited; 3 were accredited but with no evidence, 5 were accreditable but still for PSV. The equation is 22/40= 55%  OO: Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation  ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM  3.201E+14														acknowledged as not accreditable; 22
programs with no evidence, 5 were accreditable but still for PSV.  With accreditation  OO: Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation  ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM  3.201E+14							61%				55%	55%	6%	were accredited; 3 were accredited but
with accreditation OC: Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation  ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM  3.201E+14  The equation is 22/40=55%  The equation is 22/40=55%  ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM  The equation is 22/40=55%  ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM  The equation is 22/40=55%	programs						0170	_	_	-	3370	3370	070	
with accreditation OO : Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation  ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM 3.201E+14														
OO : Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation  ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM  3.201E+14														i ne equation is 22/40= 55%
improved to promote economic productivity and innovation  ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM  3.201E+14		-												
productivity and innovation ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM 3.201E+14														
ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM 3.201E+14														
	productivity and innovation													
	ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM	3 201F+14												
	Outcome Indicator	0.201ET1 <del>1</del>		<u> </u>	1		1	1		1				<del> </del>

SOME												1		
## STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	Percentage of graduate school													
1976   19														
## A Province of the Comment of the														
B. Activity private extrement in the part of the part														
a. processing absenced escention feetgree  a. processing absenced escention feetgree  a. processing absenced escention feetgree  b. processing absence of the	of the following:													
Description							9%	-	-	-	-	91.00%		engaged in research work is only 22. C the 22 graduate school faculty, 20 wer already PhD holders while only 2 facul members were not Ph.D. holders and not pursuing advance research degree
Description	programs (Ph D)													
and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research;  4% 9% 9% 5% These faculty memories are 1. Dr. Billion F. Soronier (4 output for CY 2015-2019)  - Percentage of graduate students  2. Percentage of graduate students  1. Percentage of graduate students  2. Percentage of graduate students  3. Offs, 2. Of	b. actively pursuing in the last three						6%					64%	58%	members, 14 faculty members are actively pursuing research in the last 3
and applied scientific research, policy research, social science research;  4% 9% 9% 5% These faculty memories are 1. Dr. Billion F. Soronier (4 output for CY 2015-2019)  - Percentage of graduate students  2. Percentage of graduate students  1. Percentage of graduate students  2. Percentage of graduate students  3. Offs, 2. Of	vears (investigative research, basic													
A	your (involugative recoursing such						1							
A	and applied scientific research, policy													
4% 9%   -   -   9%   5%   Crystally members produced to technologies for control technologies														
Commercialization or livelihood improvement	c. producing technologies for						4%	9%	-	-	-	9%	5%	produced technologies for commercialization. These faculty members are: 1. Dr. Bilshan F. Servañez (4 outputs for CY 2018-2019) 2. Dr. Emelyn R. Villanueva (2 outputs for CY 2018-2019)
A	commercialization or livelihood													11100, 2722 - 070
4% 9% 9% 5% Only 2 out of 22 faculty members produced research resulted in an extension program   9% 10% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 10														
Output Indicators         Image: Computation of the programs         Image: Computation of the program of the	d. whose research work resulted in an						4%	9%	-	=	=	9%	5%	
1. Percentage of graduate students enrolled  100%  100														
1. Percentage of graduate students enrolled  1. Percentage of graduate students enrolled enro	Output Indicators													
2. Percentage of accredited graduate  0% 83% 83% 83% 5 out of 6 GEPS Program  programs ESEARCH PROGRAM 3.202E+14							100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0	Total Enrollment is 1,183 (2nd sem A) 2020-2021 - 574; Summer AY 2020-2021-255 and 1st sem AY 2021-2022 354)
2. Percentage of accredited graduate  0% 83% 83% 83% 5 out of 6 GEPS Program  programs ESEARCH PROGRAM 3.202E+14	in research degree programs		<u> </u>		1	1	<b>†</b>		<b>†</b>	<b>†</b>	1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
ESEARCH PROGRAM 3.202E+14							0%	-	-	-	83%	83%	83%	5 out of 6 GEPS Program
ESEARCH PROGRAM 3.202E+14	programs		<u> </u>							<b>—</b>				<del> </del>
		3.202E+14		l			1	İ	1	1				
	Outcome Indicator			İ			İ	İ	1	İ	İ	İ		

Number of research outputs in the last					3	4	0	0	6	5	2	The actual accomplishment is 10. However, only five (5) of research outputs have a certification of copyright registration number and utilized in public schools. The remaining 5 outputs are still in the process of generating Certificate of Utilization. Thus 5/3 = 166.6%
three years utilized by the industry or												
by other beneficiaries												
Output Indicators												
Number of research outputs completed					17	2	3	1	72	78	61	The output were 104, however only 78 of these research outputs were found to have a record of abstracts submitted by the Research Office as of September 2022.
												Thus, the actual accomplishment indicated is only 78. Thus, 78/17 shows a 458.82%.
within the year												
Percentage of research outputs     published					8%	5	11	13	17	12.74% (46)	4.74%	Out of the 250, 46 have already been published in 2019 and 2020, making the denominator 204. Out of the 204, 26 were published in 2021. Hence, 26/204 is 12.74%.
in internationally-refereed or CHED												
recognized journal within the year												
OO : Community engagement increased												
TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION												
PROGRAM Outcome Indicator	3.301E+14											
Number of active partnerships with LGUs,					4	1	1	5	9	16	12	
industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and												
other stakeholders as a result of												
extension activities												
Output Indicators		1	-	-				-				
Number of trainees weighted by the					3,650	1234	1228	373	1,743	4,578	928	
length of training		1	<del>                                     </del>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>				
Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the					4	1	2	2	6	11	7	
SUC's												
mandated and priority programs  3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the					96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	4%	This percentage was derived from the 3, 382 beneficiaries who rated the training course/s and advisory services as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance. Thus, 3, 382/3,382= 100%
training course/s and advisory services				]		·		]	·			
Services												
as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance												

Prepared By: In coordination with: Approved By: Merian . Catajay-Mani, Ed.D., CESE

Lou V. Tol

Officer

Date: 01/17/2022

Financial Services Head/Budget Officer Date: 01/17/2022

Date: 01/17/2022

This report was generated using the Unified Reporting System on 10/09/2019 08:47

Revised as of 03/29/2022- Advanced Education Program (b) - 45.45% with DBM.