

No.	Assessment Conditions	Poor/Not Compliant (0)	Acceptable (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Very Satisfactory/Compliant (3)
		0	1	2	3
PILLAR I. LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK					
Indicator 1. Competitive Bidding as Default Method of Procurement					
1	Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Below 70.00%	Between 70.00-80.99%	Between 81.00-90.99%	Between 91.00-100%
2	Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of volume of total procurement	Below 20.00%	Between 20.00- 39.99%	Between 40.00-50.00%	Above 50.00%
Indicator 2. Limited Use of Alternative Methods of Procurement					
3	Percentage of shopping contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Above 7.00%	Between 6.00-7.00 %	Between 4.00-5.99 %	Below 4.00%
4	Percentage of negotiated contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Above 15.00%	Between 11.00 -15.00%	Between 6.00-10.99%	Below 6.00%
5	Percentage of direct contracting in terms of amount of total procurement	Above 4.00%	Between 3.00-4.00%	Between 1.00-2.99%	Below 1.00%
6	Percentage of repeat order contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Above 4.00%	Between 3.00-4.00%	Between 1.00-2.99%	Below 1.00%
7	Compliance with Repeat Order procedures	Not Compliant			Compliant
8	Compliance with Limited Source Bidding procedures	Not Compliant			Compliant
Indicator 3. Competitiveness of the Bidding Process					
9	Average number of entities who acquired bidding documents	Below 3.00	3.00-3.99	4.00-5.99	6.00 and above
10	Average number of bidders who submitted bids	Below 2.00	2.00-2.99	3.00-4.99	5.00 and above
11	Average number of bidders who passed eligibility stage	Below 1.00	1.00 – 1.99	2.00-2.99	3.00 and above
12	Sufficiency of period to prepare bids	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
13	Use of proper and effective procurement documentation and technical specifications/requirements	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
PILLAR II. AGENCY INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND MANAGEMENT CAPACITY					
Indicator 4. Presence of Procurement Organizations					
14	Creation of Bids and Awards Committee(s)	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
15	Presence of a BAC Secretariat or Procurement Unit	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
Indicator 5. Procurement Planning and Implementation					
16	An approved APP that includes all types of procurement	Not Compliant			Compliant
17	Preparation of Annual Procurement Plan for Common-Use Supplies and Equipment (APP-CSE) and Procurement of Common-Use Supplies and Equipment from the Procurement Service	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
18	Existing Green Specifications for GPPB-identified non-CSE items are adopted	Not Compliant			Compliant
Indicator 6. Use of Government Electronic Procurement System					
19	Percentage of bid opportunities posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	Below 70.99%	Between 71.00-80.99%	Between 81.00-90.99%	Above 91.00%

No.	Assessment Conditions	Poor/Not Compliant (0)	Acceptable (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Very Satisfactory/Compliant (3)
		0	1	2	3
20	Percentage of contract award information posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	Below 20.00%	Between 20.00- 50.99%	Between 51.00-80.00%	Above 80.00%
21	Percentage of contract awards procured through alternative methods posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	Below 20.00%	Between 20.00 - 50.99%	Between 51.00-80.00%	Above 80.00%
Indicator 7. System for Disseminating and Monitoring Procurement Information					
22	Presence of website that provides up-to-date procurement information easily accessible at no cost	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
23	Preparation of Procurement Monitoring Reports using the GPPB-prescribed format, submission to the GPPB, and posting in agency website	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
PILLAR III. PROCUREMENT OPERATIONS AND MARKET PRACTICES					
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes					
24	Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 40.00% or above 100.00%	Between 40.00- 60.99%	Between 61.00% -80.00%	Above 80.00%
25	Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding	Below 90.00%	Between 90.00- 92.99%	Between 93.00-95.00%	Above 95.00%
26	Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
Indicator 9. Compliance with Procurement Timeframes					
27	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure goods	Below 90.00%	Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 96.00 to 99.99%	100%
28	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects	Below 90.00%	Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 96.00 to 99.99%	100%
29	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services	Below 90.00%	Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 96.00 to 99.99%	100%
Indicator 10. Capacity Building for Government Personnel and Private Sector Participants					
30	There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
31	Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program	Less than 60.00% Trained	Between 60.00-75.99% Trained	Between 76-90% of staff trained	Between 91.00-100% Trained
32	The procuring entity has open dialogue with private sector and ensures access to the procurement opportunities of the procuring entity	Not Compliant			Compliant
Indicator 11. Management of Procurement and Contract Management Records					
33	The BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
34	Implementing Units has and is implementing a system for keeping and maintaining complete and easily retrievable contract management records	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
Indicator 12. Contract Management Procedures					

No.	Assessment Conditions	Poor/Not Compliant (0)	Acceptable (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Very Satisfactory/Compliant (3)
		0	1	2	3
35	Agency has defined procedures or standards in such areas as quality control, acceptance and inspection, supervision of works and evaluation of contractors' performance	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
36	Timely Payment of Procurement Contracts	After 45 days	Between 38-45 days	Between 31-37 days	On or before 30 days
PILLAR IV. INTEGRITY AND TRANSPARENCY OF AGENCY PROCUREMENT SYSTEM					
Indicator 13. Observer Participation in Public Bidding					
37	Observers are invited to attend stages of procurement as prescribed in the IRR	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
Indicator 14. Internal and External Audit of Procurement Activities					
38	Creation and operation of Internal Audit Unit (IAU) that performs specialized procurement audits	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
39	Audit Reports on procurement related transactions	Below 60% compliance	Between 61-70.99% compliance	Between 71-89.99% compliance	Above 90-100% compliance
Indicator 15. Capacity to Handle Procurement Related Complaints					
40	The Procuring Entity has an efficient procurement complaints system and has the capacity to comply with procedural requirements	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
Indicator 16. Anti-Corruption Programs Related to Procurement					
41	Agency has a specific anti-corruption program/s related to procurement	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant